

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,928	02/19/2002	Martin D. Richek	3434-P02437US1	6502	
110 7:	590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			2191		
		DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/079,928	RICHEK, MARTIN D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Satish S. Rampuria	2191	

	Satish S. Rampuria	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mpilant / imonamont	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) w	ill be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ovided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-10,12,13,15-23,25-28 and 30-35</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1,2,11,14,24 and	29 by the applicants.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
	MCI 7HE	v	
	WEI ZITE	IT EXAMINED	
	WEI ZHEI LAVISORY PATEN	///	
	/ 1 / 1/ ///		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/079,928

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims required further consideration and/ or search, specifically to the limitations "and not deleting... is included" as recited in claim 3.

Further, the applicants representative indicated in the remarks that the agreement was reached during the interview taken on May 17, 2006 and a promise was made to call the undersigned representative in the event the Examiner would not allow the independent claims over Oliver.

However, no agreement was reached during the interview no promises were made to call back the undersigned representative. Instead, Examiners suggested the possible 101 and 112 issues to be fixed to expedite the examination process. Examiners indicated that the comments made by undersigned representative during the interview would be considered and an updated search would be required.